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Abstract

“Life on the Edge: Social Political and Religious Frontiers in Early Medieval Europe” brings together articles from specialists from across eight countries. Resulting from the 63rd meeting of the Sachsensymposium in Durham in 2012, this volume takes its inspiration from the position of this city close to the Roman frontier, and its instrumental role in the development of early Northumbria. The 7th-century kingdom of Northumbria at times united British, Anglo-Saxon and Pictish populations. To the south, it spanned the old Roman frontier and its legacy of fortifications; to the north, it stretched into modern Scotland. As a consequence Northumbria offers a unique landscape in which to consider issues of frontiers and boundaries, centres and peripheries, and the kinds of events, allegiances, political and religious changes, that helped shape the northern European early medieval identity.

Articles deal with archaeology, art, and at times written sources, and cover the 1st to the 13th centuries AD. Geographically the papers touch on sites and finds from England and Scotland, Germany, the Netherlands, Denmark, Sweden, Norway and Poland. Articles encompass topics including: trade and exchange at the North Sea edge; the building of linear divisions and defences; central places and production; the delimitation of settlements; religious transition; cultural borders; burial and identity; and the limits between real and imagined worlds.

Zusammenfassung


Résumé


Dans cet ouvrage, différentes disciplines se côtoient pour répondre à ces questions, à partir des données archéologiques, de l’histoire de l’art et des sources écrites du 1er au 13e siècle de notre ère. Au niveau géographique, les articles portent sur les sites et les objets d’Angleterre, d’Écosse, d’Allemagne, des Pays-Bas, du Danemark, de Suède, de Norvège et de Pologne. Les discussions portent : sur les échanges autour de la Mer du Nord, les divisions internes des bâtiments et des habitats, les systèmes de défenses, les lieux de pouvoir et de production, les transitions religieuses, les tombes et les questions d’identité, les limites des cadres culturels et les limites entre les mondes du réel et de l’imaginaire.
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In writing about the Kievian intervention of Boleslaus I (1018), Thietmar of Merseburg (VIII 31) described a battle fought on 22 July on an unnamed river. Thietmar’s source of information would have been participants in this campaign: natives of Saxony. Polish and Ruthenian chronicles from the early 12th century describe the events of 1018 in greater detail reporting that the battle took place on the River Bug (Gallicus Anonymus I 7; Primary Chronicle a. 6526 [1018]). The Primary Chronicle also records that on his way home from Kiev, Boleslaus occupied the Cherven towns now identified tentatively as the earthworks at Czernno and Grödek on the bank of the River Huczwia a tributary of the Bug. The Cherven towns were an area of rivalry between Piast, Rurikid and, from the 12th century, the Arpad princes.

Research on the formation of the eastern border of Poland and of the Polish-Rus has seen recent revival. In the eastern border area of modern Poland there are several small towns and villages with earthworks which are regarded as the remains of important strongholds established during the medieval period (Fig 1; see BUXO 2007; FRANKLIN and SHEPARD 1996; LÜBKE 2004a; SAMSONOWICZ 2009 and MUHLE 2011). This paper reports on the evidence of the written sources, but also the evidence from archaeological finds from Czernno and Grödek. These settlement complexes both lie in eastern Poland on the bank of the Huczwia; both are identified as major centres of the Cherven towns in the principality of Kievian Rus (Ruthenia).

The inadequate status of research on the Cherven region, or more broadly, on the Polish-Rus border zone is a result of Soviet discouragement and disinterest in the 20th century (see SŁOBIENSKI 2011; WOŁOSZYŃ 2012) and in 1945–89 there was, in addition, only a single centre for archaeology at a university in eastern Poland — in Lublin. Since 1989, study of the formation of Poland’s eastern border region and of the Polish-Rus border zone has considerably improved. A pioneering study was undertaken by Michal Parczewski in 1991 (PARZEWICKI 1991; 2007), and during 1999–2003, I worked with Michal Parczewski as my PhD supervisor, on the small finds of Byzantine and/or Rus provenance of 10th–13th-century date from southern Poland. Since 2008, cooperation between the Leipzig Centre for the History and Culture of East Central Europe (GWZO, Leipzig, Germany) and the Institute of Archaeology and Ethnology of Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow-Warsaw, Institute of Archaeology of University of Rzeszów has facilitated much needed research. The project Vergleichende Untersuchungen zum sozialen, wirtschaftlichen und kulturellen Wandel in den Grenz- und Kontaktkzonen Ostmitteleuropas im Mittelalter or Comparing medieval boundary regions was led by Christian Lübke and Matthias Hardt. Further advances were through successive grant funding in 2011 with an exhibition dedicated to the archaeological heritage of Czernno (see BAGROWSKA et al. 2012) and a programme of conservation and scientific analysis of a series of medieval Rus seals from Czernno, notably, Dorganich-type seals. Further research was granted in 2012 by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education on the stronghold complexes at Czernno and Grödek, focusing on the chronology and function of the Cherven towns (project no 12H 12 0064 81). This multidisciplinary and international venture is implemented by an international team of researchers from Poland, Germany, Russia, Serbia and Ukraine. The results will be published in a Polish–German publication series launched in 2010 and dedicated to early history of East Central Europe: U ŹRÓDEŁ EUROPY ŚRODKOWO-WŚCHODNIEJ / FRÜHZEIT OSTMITTELEUROPAS.

The present paper summarises past and current research on the Cherven towns. These were significant places, as archaeological artefacts from Czernno and Grödek attest to material evidence on the role of the Byzantine Empire in the formation of eastern parts of Europe. There is no denying that the decades long discussion on the ethnic interpretation of archaeological finds has yet to be resolved (cf BROŽIĆ 2004; CURTA 2011). To speak of ‘Polish’ belt buckles or ‘Rus’ arrowheads is an anachronism, but in the present discussion the term ‘Polish’ is used to denote finds discovered with a greater frequency on the territory of Poland, and much more rarely to the east of Poland. Differences are apparent in the material culture of medieval Poland when compared to medieval Rus, but rather than representing ethnic differences, these can be suggested to stem from the impacts created through the merging of Poland and Rus with Latin and Byzantine power and society.

The Cherven towns: a history

In the summer of 1018 Boleslaus I (1025), then Duke of Poland, and later its first king, led his troops to his eastern
Figure 1. Major early medieval centres in eastern Poland c AD 1000 against the European background. a: medieval border between Poland and Rus (Makarski 1996, map no 3); b: modern eastern boundary of Poland; c: Kievan Rus c 1000 (Dubienky 1971); d: Byzantium c 1025 (Halpion 2010, map no 8.1). 1: Drohicyn (Donogichin), district Sieniawskie, Podlaskie Voivodeship; 2: Chelm (Kholm), district Chelm, Lublin Voivodeship; 3: Gridasz (Volyn), district Hrubieszów, Lublin Voivodeship; 4: Szydłowiec (Sutie), district Zamość, Lublin Voivodeship; 5: Czeremcha (Cherven), district Tomaszów Lubelski, Lublin Voivodeship; 6: Przemysł (Peremyshl), district Przemysł, Podkarpackie Voivodeship; 7: Tarnów, district Sanok, Podkarpackie Voivodeship. Drawing: I. Jordan.
border, making his way to Kiev. Thietmar of Merseburg recorded that:

"On the 22 July, the duke came to a certain river, where he ordered his army to set up camp and prepare the necessary bridges. Also camped near the river, along with his army, was the king of the Russians (Vistulans) [1034]. He was anxiously awaiting the outcome of the upcoming battle, for which both rulers had called. Meanwhile, the Poles provoked the enemy into fighting and, with unexpected success, drove them from the river bank which they were supposed to defend. Slayed by this news, Boleslaus hastily notified his companions and quickly crossed the river although not without effort. In contrast, the hostile army, drawn up in battle formation, vainly attempted to defend its homeland. It collapsed at the first attack, however, and failed to mount any effective resistance. Among those who fell, many were killed, but only a few of the victors were lost. On our [German, Saxton] side, the dead included Erich, an illustrious miles whom our emperor had long held in chains. From that day on, with every success, Boleslaus drove his scattered enemies before him, and the whole populace received and honoured him with many gifts." (Thietmar VII 31, 382–3)

In the Gesta Principum Polonorum of Gallus Anonymous, and in the Russian Primary Chronicle, both early 12th-century sources, the events of 1018 are described in more detail. We are told that the battle took place on the River Bug (Gallus I 7, 45), close to the town of Volyn (this would be today's Gródek; see Primary Chronicle...), a 6526 [1018], 221; see Poppe 1958). More importantly, the Primary Chronicle also reports that on his way home from Kiev, Boleslaus occupied the Cherven towns. In this way the accounts of three early authors provide us with the first reliable record for the formation of the Polish–Rus border zone.

During the second half of the 10th century, the area between Cracow and the western Bug River was controlled by Czech Přemyslids (CDB, no 86, 94; see also Cosmas I 34, 88–9) and in AD 981 Vladimir the Great took control of the Cherven towns (Primary Chronicle a 6489 [981], 182). We know little of the tribes that inhabited the region. A document dating to mid-9th-century names them Usliane/ Vuislaine, identified as Vistulans who are known from other records and places them on the Upper Vistula, whereas the Busane are named and located somewhere along the River Bug (Descrip octivatatum 221). The latter are also known to the author of the Primary Chronicle which names three tribes in the context of the River Bug, the Buzhans, Volynians, Dulebs (see Primary Chronicle, 23–4). The Descriptio Civitatum also refers to the Lendzí or Lendzians (in Polish: Łędzianie) who are said to have less than 98 civitates or strongholds/ stronghold districts, without specific information on their location. If we accept that a group of rich tribes could be identified with the tribe Lendzian/Lendzeni/o mentioned by the Byzantine Emperor Constantine VII Porphyrogentius (Constantine Porphyrogentius, IX, 57, XXVII, 169), it is possible that the Lendians inhabited the area to the east of the territory of the Vistulans, which presumably included also the lands on the River Bug. In East Slavic languages (but also in Lithuanian and in Hungarian) the term Lach (Lyak, and other variations) refers to ethnic Poles and Poland. Most likely it goes back to the etymonm Lวดzianie, similarly as the name of Germany in French (Allemande) is derived from the name of the Germanic tribe of the Alemanni who were situated in south-western Germany close to the border with Gaul (see Parczewski 2007).

According to the record entered in the Primary Chronicle for the year AD 981, Vladimir had wrested towns of Peremyshl, Cherven and others from the Lyakhs, which territory at the time of writing — as the chronicler was happy to note — still remained under Rurikid rule (Primary Chronicle a 6489 [981], 182). At present it is accepted that the Lyakhs should not be read as synonyms with Poles; rather, the name referred to the tribe or confederation of the Lendians, whose territory was in the upper reaches of the Bug, Stry, Dniester rivers, the rim of the Carpathian foothills and the lands on the San and the Wisłoka rivers. During the second half of the 10th century the territory of the Lendians lay within a zone of Czech and Ruthenian influence. In this case Vladimir's campaign would have been prompted by his wish to restore Ruthenian rule over this territory, if only a part of it (see Labuda 1981; 2005, 20). It seems, therefore, that during the second half of the 10th century the border of Poland (the domain of the Plasts) ran west of the River Bug.

Following the death of Vladimir the Great in 1015, the campaign of 1018 added the Cherven towns region to the Plast domain (Primary Chronicle a 6526 [1018], 221; see Salamon 1993; Poppe 1995; Labuda 2005, 23). In 1031 the Cherven towns changed hands once again, returned to Rurikid rule (Primary Chronicle a 6539 [1031], 225; Labuda 2005, 29), and broadly remained so during the 12th to 14th century (see Kuczynski 1965; Rhode 1955, Lücke 2003, 2004b). Following the alliance made between Casimir the Great (1137), king of Poland and Lithuania under the Jagiellon dynasty, Poland–Lithuania became the key player in this part of Europe. Around the mid-14th century Casimir the Great captured the Cherven towns (see Wunsch and Janeczek 2004; Janeczek 2011) and they have remained in Polish territory to the modern day.

In the review of the archaeology and history of the Cherven towns and other archaeological sites below, early Rus names are used next to the modern Polish names: Drohiczyn and Dorogichin, Chełm and Kholm, Czeremno and Cherven, Gródek and Volyn, Sąsiadka and Sutyczy, Przemysł and Peremyshl. Only the stronghold surviving as a hillfort at the village Trepcza near Sanok must remain nameless.

The Cherven towns: past and current archaeological research

The Cherven towns were subject to excavation in 1940, in 1952–55, in 1976–79, 1985 and finally in 1997, and most findings were not published, but the results of work carried out by Lev Chykalenko at Czeremno in summer 1940 were subsequently published during the 1990s (Chykalenko 1998). Only the team of Konrad Jadźewski who excavated a small area at Czeremno in 1952 published a full report (Abramowicz
1959; Jążdżewski 1959; Zawierki 1959). During these decades no major plan of research was developed on the Polish-Rus borderland. Of the material recovered at Gródek (1952–55), only the Neolithic finds were published in monographic form (see Jastrzębski 1991); on Roman finds from Gródek, see Koksowski 1993). The early medieval and medieval stronghold cemetery was acknowledged only in brief reports (Kuźniarz 2012). In 1983 a warrior burial furnished with a sword and a quiver was recovered at Gródek and published soon afterwards (Jastrzębski and Maciejczuk 1988; Kozak-Zychman 1988). The sword, inscribed +INHOMEFECT+ and +INGELII [?]+, RMETCIT+ may be dated to the second half of the 12th century or the beginning of the 13th century (see Kuźniarz 2006). At Czernno in the 1970s research, led by Jan Gurba, focused on the earthwork and rampart and adjacent settlements and discovered a system of causeways linking individual parts of the settlement complex (Fig 3), but only selected small finds and several general studies were published although a number of unpublished MA theses have analysed some of the findings (see Gurba 1980/81). Timber samples secured by Jan Gurba and Andrzej Urbaniński from the causeways and the rampart at Czernno in 1997 were analysed by Marek Krapiec (Fig 3, points A–D). Dendrochronological dates obtained for the causeways suggest that these were installed not later than in the mid-13th century. But the case of the rampart is different — the earliest dates secured from this structure lead to the conclusion ‘...that the analysed samples come from trees felled at the end of the first half of the 11th century, possibly later’ (Urbaniński 2000, 242). This implies the stronghold was built after AD 1000 and cannot be the Cherven referred to in the Primary Chronicle. Elżbieta Kowalczyk questioned the reliability of the dates (Kowalczyk 2000, 60–2). Others have argued that Czernno is deliberately sited during the final quarter of the 11th century in a move to locate the Cherven towns east of the River Bug (Tyszkielczewicz 2004, 195). The location of the samples dated in 1997 remains uncertain and thus may not represent the earliest phase of stronghold fortification system (Urbaniński 2000, 242). Thus arguments for the chronology of the complex at Czernno remain inconclusive (see Polski 2011, 353–4). Although unreliable, however, the dates have entered into scientific circulation. To the extent that Czernno was struck off a list of 10th-century sites in a discussion on the fortifications on the Huczwa. In 2010, new investigations were initiated by the Lublin centre by Marcin Piotrowski and Andrzej Koksowski, who started to investigate at Czernno using metal detectors. This is not a method which can replace regular archaeological fieldwork, but the archaeologists from the Lublin centre decided to adopt the method as Czernno was in danger from treasure hunters.

The Cherven towns: the sites

Gródek

The early medieval settlement complex at Gródek, some 15 hectares in area, consists of the relics of a fortified settlement (a stronghold) and a number of open settlements (Fig 2). The ramparts of the medieval stronghold were lost in some places to WWI and WWII ditches and artillery trenches. An inhumation cemetery was identified inside the earthwork, with a total of 466 graves. Forty-two graves contained furnishings: bronze buttons, fragments of textiles, earrings, finger-rings, sheet metal appliqués, crosses and beads. These ornaments

Figure 2. (A) Gródek (Volyn), district Hrubieszów, Lublin Voivodeship, Poland. Photograph: S. Ofrowski. (B) The settlement complex: a. the earthwork (with the cemetery within the compound); b. Kieżejowa Mogila barrow; c. marshy area; 1–5. number of archaeological sites (1: Kieżejowa Mogila barrow, 1A: earthwork; 1C: site Bocian). Drawing by J. Ostig after Kuźniarz 2012, Fig 2.
Figure 3. (A) Czernno (Cherven), district Tyszowce, Lublin Voivodeship, Poland. Photograph: S. Orlowski. (B) The settlement complex: a: early medieval settlements; b: medieval causeways; c: the rampart enclosing the settlement complex from the south; d: inhumation cemeteries; e: marshy area; f: reconstructed river channel of the Sniucha prior to the 1960s drainage works. Drawing: J. Dżożg after FLOREK 2012, fig 1.
are forms which have parallels mostly in finds recorded on the territory of Kievan Rus. The cemetery at Gródek was in use 12/13th-14th centuries and possibly even through to the 15th century (cf Kuźniar 2012). The grave pits intruded on features dating from an earlier phase of occupation of the stronghold: the remains of dwellings, settlement pits, ovens and a smithy.

In 2008 the regional museum in Hrubieszów added some 550 archaeological objects that derived from Gródek to its collections, all recovered from illegal metal-detecting. All of them have now been recorded. These include ornaments and dress accessories, weapons and, last but not least, lead seals, including Dorogichin-type seals and devotional objects (encolpia, cross pendants, small metal icons; see Fig 4). This material dates to the 11th-14th centuries, and possibly the early 15th century.

Czernno

The complex at Czernno consists of the remains of a stronghold, established on a well-drained holm at the confluence of the Huczwa River and its small tributary the Siniucha, and of a group of open settlements lying on the marshy bank of the Huczwa. There are more of these open settlements to the north of the Huczwa River. The area of the entire complex is estimated between approximately 75 and 150 hectares; a part of this area is marshy and waterlogged (Fig 3). To the west of the earthwork, separated by a tract of lower lying ground, is a fortified suburb settlement closest to the stronghold (Czernno site 2). To this day no reliable traces of a cemetery datable to the period from the 6th to 10th century have been identified, but to the west of the earthwork is a cemetery with inhumation burials which remains uninvestigated. In 1985 remains of a timber causeways were recovered north-west of the earthwork which presumably linked open settlements established on the holms rising within the marshy valley of the Huczwa; these were dendro-dated to the 12th to 13th century.

A horizontal stratigraphic survey was undertaken at Czernno in 2010 and 2011, resulting in the recording of some 2500 archaeological objects (see Pietrowski and Wocoszyński 2012), including 404 Dorogichin-type seals which are likely to have been used in commerce. In addition, 20 lead seals, 20 encolpia, 21 metal cross-pendants, 23 axe-heads, 104 arrowheads and several hundred ornaments and dress accessories were recovered, and six glass bracelet fragments. Two extraordinary hoards of early medieval jewellery were also discovered inside the stronghold, about 20 m apart (Fig 5). Hoard no 1 was deposited in a pottery vessel which held: four bracelets (two cuff and two spiral specimens, the latter plain, with tapered terminals), two earrings, two kots, two rings and 19 complete (and a few fragmented) sheet silver appliqués from a headdress (cap or headband) which survived as a fragment of textile with sewn on miniature glass beads. Hoard no 2 was discovered resting within a layer of turf, presumably buried in haste by its owner, possibly wrapped in a piece of leather, textile or placed in a wooden container which did not survive. This deposit included a plain spiral bracelet with tapered terminals, two kots, four earrings and a large decorative bead.

The kots, the richly ornamented cuff bracelets and the earrings (type with "three baskets"), have many parallels in deposits from the territory of Kievan Rus. It is notable that the bracelets and earrings are very similar to finds from Czernno that were present in a hoard discovered in 1974 at Sokal in Ukraine, only 30 km in a straight line from Czernno (Pietrowski 2010). Plan bracelets with tapered terminals appear to be the youngest element in the two hoards from Czernno: analogical forms are known from hoards discovered at Myropil (Korzukhina 1954, 134 [cat no 137], fig 19) and Kiev (Korzukhina 1954, 109 [cat no 71]), from Voinytsia (Teodor 1964, 246, fig 1) and Demidiv (Zasypa 2009, cat nos 10–13). More relevant is the deposit from Demidiv dated by coins of Wenceslaus II of Bohemia (1271–1305) and John of Luxembourg (1296–1346; see Kotlar 1975, cat no 38). An overview of the two hoards from Czernno suggests they may have been deposited largely at the time of the Mongol threat in mid-13th century. These closed assemblages are dated by the youngest forms present in them: plain bracelets with tapered ends place the time of deposition of the two hoards from Czernno to the period between the middle and the end of 13th and possibly early 14th century.

An even more remarkable group of finds are the lead and Dorogichin-type seals. Finds of seals from the Byzantine-Rus environment on the territory of Pliast Poland are few (Wocoszyński 2009, fig 1). Lead seals were issued by the Piastr only sporadically and when discovered (recently in Greater Poland and Mazovia), they attract considerable attention (see Suchodolski 2011). In the Byzantine-Rus zone (differently from the Latin part of East Central Europe) seals were mass produced: in the collection of Harvard University there are 17,000 Byzantine seals and their total number (in collections across the world) is estimated at around 60,000 (Nesbitt 2008). Byzantine seals were brought to the territory of Kievan Rus in great numbers, and seals were commonly produced for the secular and ecclesiastical authorities in Rus itself (Yanin 1970; Yanin and Gaydukov 1998).

In this context the discoveries at Czernno are no surprise: material culture of the Cherven towns in many aspects has a Rus or a Byzantine-Rus character, and the relatively numerous seal finds confirm this. Two specimens have a Greek obverse inscription: ‘Α-Κ[Ωρ|ου]Γε [μη|νέας] τῳ σου δ[ό|λο]Λιῳ Δαδ[ή|λ] [δι] τω [πω|ισάς], translated as ‘Lord, come to the aid of your servant David the Archon of Rus’. The reverse image is that of Prophet David, a scroll of psalms in his left hand, his right upraised in blessing. Until recently this seal type was known from the earthwork at Sasadia and from
Volodymyr-Volynskyi. At Czernno at least two such have been discovered (Fig 6.2–3). Two dukes of this name are known from 11th century Rus: Davyd Sviatoslavich (†1123) and Davyd Igorevich. Analysis of their political activity persuades us to attribute the seal from Czernno (and also those from Sasiadka and Volodymyr-Volynskyi) to Davyd Igorevich which dates them to 1084–1112.

An equally interesting group are Dorogichin-type seals (Fig 7). The function of these small objects (diam c 10 mm)—fashioned from two pieces of lead pressed together, presumably with a string in between—is still unresolved. Both the obverse and the reverse are, with the ducal insignia, schematic depictions of saints or animals, similarly the seals of bulla type. Their most likely interpretation is that of commercial seals. Untill recently as much as 80% of this group were specimens from Drohiczyn: 12,000 recovered from the waters of the River Bug between 1864 and the early 20th century. Close details of the site and discovery were lacking, but analysis of 19th/20th-century documentation preserved in Petersburg has brought crucial results: the seals are now known to have been discovered some distance downstream from the castle (Nosov and Musin 2009, 898–9; see also Musin 2012; the same may be said of Beloozero; see Zacharov 2005). Having analysed finds of Dorogichin seals 15 years ago, Valeryj B. Perchavko listed 40 localities with these finds including single finds from Volga Bulgaria and Lithuania and the remainder from the territory of Kievan Rus and its northern region (Perchavko 1996).

In this context the finds from Czernno take on special meaning. The vast majority of seals surfaced not within the earthwork but in the suburban settlements, in the marshy valley of the Huczwa River, clustering outside the stronghold. The latest studies of seals on Byzantine and Rus territory have demonstrated convincingly that, rather than with the circulation of official documents (letters, etc.), these objects were associated with the functioning of ports (for sealing commodities). In view of Czernno’s position on the bank of the Huczwa, on the route running from Kiev to Cracow to Prague and Regensburg, the seals reveal the strategic significance of the site.

Both Czernno and Gródek were bypassed by the process of urbanism of the 14th and 15th centuries and the role of local centres was taken over by Tyszowce and Hrubieszów respectively. Today both Czernno and Gródek are small villages. Archaeological evidence, however, has proved that in the early medieval period these centres were more than military watchtowers: the number of devotionals (Fig 8), lead seals and Dorogichin-type seals persuades us to treat them as local centres of the Polish-Rus border zone, vast settlement complexes of major commercial importance. In view of the
Figure 6. Selected lead seals from Czernno (Cherven), district Tyszowce, Lublin Voivodeship, Poland, after conservation. (1) The seal with obverse inscription +ΔΩΝΗΣΙΟΒΟ and reverse representation of Saint John the Baptist, Yaroslav, ruler in Volhynia (1100–118). (2–3) Seals with the representation of Prophet David, Davyd Igorevich (†1112). (4–5) Seals with reverse representation of St Basil the Great (of Caesarea), or possibly, Archangel Michael or Gabriel, Ryurik Rostislavich (†1215). Photograph: M. Woloszyn.
references to the Cherven towns (and of Czemno itself) found in the Primary Chronicle, the matter of the 10th-century chronology of the ramparts at Czemno (and at Gródek too) is fundamental. So far the number of finds dating to the 9th to 11th century is rather small.

The forgotten Cherven towns and the making of Europe

Current understanding of the archaeology and history of the Cherven towns remains partial. Nevertheless, the rich diversity of archaeological objects which have surfaced at Gródek and Czemno demonstrate a preponderance of finds with Rus or Byzantine provenance. In the 11th to 13th centuries, the region under consideration was Rus rather than Polish in character. What is striking in the archaeological record from Czemno and Gródek is the sheer quantity of devotional objects, and seals, including the Dorogichin type. This would suggest that

Figure 7. Selected Dorogichin-type seals from Czemno (Cherven), district Tyszowce, Lublin Voivodeship, Poland, after conservation. Photograph: M. Woloszyn.

Figure 8. Selected devotional objects (encolpia) from Czemno (Cherven), district Tyszowce, Lublin Voivodeship, Poland. Photograph: M. Woloszyn; drawing: J. Ozóg.
the Cherven towns played a significant role in commercial exchange between Rus and the countries of Central Europe.

The conversion of Bohemia, Poland and Rus opened the territories of the northern Slav tribes to the influence of lands with a more advanced civilisation. Latin culture was introduced to Bohemia and Poland indirectly, through German ecclesiastics, but Christianity and Romanitas were brought directly to the Rus by the Byzantine Empire. Even if Kievian Rus, unlike the Slav kingdoms in the Balkans (Bulgaria, Serbia), was never a subject of the Byzantine Empire the decision taken by Vladimir the Great in 988/989 to embrace eastern Christianity opened up the area of his Kievian principality to the impact of Byzantine culture, not only spiritually but also materially (see Musin and Woloszyn 2012). If we consider the adoption in Rus of sophisticated technologies (mostly in art), and the spread and proliferation of objects associated with religious devotion, we can make the claim that Rus had entered the sphere of the Byzantine Commonwealth (for this term see Obołenski 1971, see also Mieyendorff 1993, Poppe 1980, for Dymitr Obolenski and his idea of Byzantine Commonwealth, see Sheppard 2006). And this means that the Cherven towns were more than just a contact area between the Latin Poles and the Orthodox population of Kievian Rus. They were also a western outlying province of the Byzantine world and owed their prosperity to the influence of this civilisation.
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